

Control theory: state-space approach for linear systems

Continuous-time systems

2 janvier 2022

Continuous-time systems

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) &= Cx(t) \end{cases}$$

Time is assumed to be continuous, that is t takes its values into \mathbb{R} .

From a state-space repr. to a TF

How to obtain the transfer function of a system described by its state-space representation ?

From a state-space repr. to a TF

How to obtain the transfer function of a system described by its state-space representation ?

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) \\ x(0) = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} sX(s) = AX(s) + BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$
$$\iff \begin{cases} (s\mathbf{I} - A)X(s) = BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$
$$\iff \begin{cases} X(s) = (s\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$

From a state-space repr. to a TF

How to obtain the transfer function of a system described by its state-space representation ?

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) \\ x(0) = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} sX(s) = AX(s) + BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$
$$\iff \begin{cases} (s\mathbf{I} - A)X(s) = BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$
$$\iff \begin{cases} X(s) = (s\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$Y(s) = [C(p\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}B] U(s) \text{ , or, } \frac{Y(s)}{U(s)} = C(s\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}B.$$

From a state-space repr. to a TF

How to obtain the transfer function of a system described by its state-space representation ?

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = Ax(t) + Bu(t) \\ y(t) = Cx(t) \\ x(0) = 0 \end{cases} \iff \begin{cases} sX(s) = AX(s) + BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$
$$\iff \begin{cases} (s\mathbf{I} - A)X(s) = BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$
$$\iff \begin{cases} X(s) = (s\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}BU(s) \\ Y(s) = CX(s) \end{cases}$$

Finally, we obtain

$$Y(s) = [C(p\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}B] U(s) \text{ , or, } \frac{Y(s)}{U(s)} = C(s\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}B.$$

$C(s\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}B$ is the *transfer matrix* of the system (*transfer function* in the single-input single-output case).

Example : container handling gantry crane

State-space representation :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ -\frac{1}{L} & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{L} \end{pmatrix} u(t) \\ y(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases} .$$

We have

$$s\mathbf{I} - A = \begin{pmatrix} s & -g \\ \frac{1}{L} & s \end{pmatrix}, \quad |s\mathbf{I} - A| = s^2 + \frac{g}{L}, \quad \text{com}(s\mathbf{I} - A) = \begin{pmatrix} s & -\frac{1}{L} \\ g & s \end{pmatrix}$$

$$(s\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1} = \frac{1}{|s\mathbf{I} - A|} \text{com}(s\mathbf{I} - A)^T = \frac{1}{s^2 + \frac{g}{L}} \begin{pmatrix} s & g \\ -\frac{1}{L} & s \end{pmatrix} .$$

Then we obtain

$$H(s) = C(s\mathbf{I} - A)^{-1}B = \frac{\frac{g}{L}}{s^2 + \frac{g}{L}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{L}{g}s^2} .$$

From a TF to a state-space repr.

- ▶ We have already noticed that a given system admits several equivalent state-space representations.

From a TF to a state-space repr.

- ▶ We have already noticed that a given system admits several equivalent state-space representations.
- ▶ Consequently, from a transfer function there exist several methods to get the distinct but equivalent state-space representations of a system, each of them having a particular form.

From a TF to a state-space repr.

Controllable Canonical Form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 \\ -a_0 & -a_1 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} u(t) \\ y(t) = (b_0 \quad b_1 \quad b_2) x(t) \end{cases} .$$

From a TF to a state-space repr.

Observable Canonical Form

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & 0 & -a_0 \\ 1 & 0 & -a_1 \\ 0 & 1 & -a_2 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} b_0 \\ b_1 \\ b_2 \end{pmatrix} u(t) \\ y(t) = (0 \ 0 \ 1) x(t) \end{cases} .$$

Simulation using a state-space representation

Euler's method

Let dt be a very small number compared to time-constants of the system. dt is the sampling period of the method. The evolution equation can be approximated by

$$\frac{x(t+dt) - x(t)}{dt} \simeq Ax(t) + Bu(t) \quad (1)$$

Simulation using a state-space representation

Euler's method

Let dt be a very small number compared to time-constants of the system. dt is the sampling period of the method. The evolution equation can be approximated by

$$\frac{x(t+dt)-x(t)}{dt} \simeq Ax(t) + Bu(t) \quad (1)$$

or

$$x(t + dt) \simeq x(t) + Ax(t) \cdot dt + Bu(t) \cdot dt \quad (2)$$

Simulation using a state-space representation

Simulation algorithm

```
x:=x0; t:=0; dt:=0.01;
repeat
  assign its value to u;
  y:=Cx;
  output (display or put into memory) y;
  x:=x+A.x.dt+B.u.dt;
  wait for an interruption from the sampler;
  t=t+dt;
indefinitely
```

Simulation using a state-space representation

Simulation algorithm

```
x:=x0; t:=0; dt:=0.01;
repeat
  assign its value to u;
  y:=Cx;
  output (display or put into memory) y;
  x:=x+A.x.dt+B.u.dt;
  wait for an interruption from the sampler;
  t=t+dt;
indefinitely
```

The simulation goes at the same speed as the evolution of the real process : *real-time* simulation.

Simulation using a state-space representation

Simulation algorithm

```
x:=x0; t:=0; dt:=0.01;
repeat
    assign its value to u;
    y:=Cx;
    output (display or put into memory) y;
    x:=x+A.x.dt+B.u.dt;
    wait for an interruption from the sampler;
    t=t+dt;
indefinitely
```

The simulation goes at the same speed as the evolution of the real process : *real-time* simulation.

Batch mode simulation : line, at which an interruption of the sampler is waited for, is removed from the algorithm (in order to obtain simulation result as fast as possible).

Solution to state-space equations

Theorem

$x(t_0)$ value of the state at initial time-instant t_0 , state at t is given by

$$x(t) = e^{A(t-t_0)}x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau, \quad (3)$$

and the output can be written

$$y(t) = Ce^{A(t-t_0)}x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^t Ce^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau. \quad (4)$$

Solution to state-space equations

Equation (3) gives the state for all $t \geq t_0$ from the initial state $x(t_0)$, and the input $u(t)$ applied along time-interval $[t_0, t]$.

Solution to state-space equations

Equation (3) gives the state for all $t \geq t_0$ from the initial state $x(t_0)$, and the input $u(t)$ applied along time-interval $[t_0, t]$.

- ▶ Function $Ce^{A(t-t_0)}x(t_0)$ describes the autonomous response of the system

Solution to state-space equations

Equation (3) gives the state for all $t \geq t_0$ from the initial state $x(t_0)$, and the input $u(t)$ applied along time-interval $[t_0, t]$.

- ▶ Function $Ce^{A(t-t_0)}x(t_0)$ describes the autonomous response of the system
- ▶ Function $\int_{t_0}^t Ce^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau$ describes the forced behavior.

Solution to state-space equations

Equation (3) gives the state for all $t \geq t_0$ from the initial state $x(t_0)$, and the input $u(t)$ applied along time-interval $[t_0, t]$.

- ▶ Function $Ce^{A(t-t_0)}x(t_0)$ describes the autonomous response of the system
- ▶ Function $\int_{t_0}^t Ce^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau$ describes the forced behavior.

See appendices for a reminder about matrix exponential.

Stability

Definition

A linear system is said to be *stable* if when the input is set to zero, then the output-response tends to zero while t tends to infinity.

Stability

Definition

A linear system is said to be *stable* if when the input is set to zero, then the output-response tends to zero while t tends to infinity.

Assume $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, state at t_1 is given by :

$$x(t_1) = e^{A(t_1-t_0)}x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau .$$

Stability

Definition

A linear system is said to be *stable* if when the input is set to zero, then the output-response tends to zero while t tends to infinity.

Assume $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, state at t_1 is given by :

$$x(t_1) = e^{A(t_1-t_0)}x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau .$$

If $u(t) = 0$ for $t > t_1$, state for $t \geq t_1$ can be written :

$$\begin{aligned}x(t) &= e^{A(t-t_1)}x(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau \\ &= e^{A(t-t_1)}x(t_1) ,\end{aligned}$$

Stability

Definition

A linear system is said to be *stable* if when the input is set to zero, then the output-response tends to zero while t tends to infinity.

Assume $u(t) \neq 0$ for $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, state at t_1 is given by :

$$x(t_1) = e^{A(t_1-t_0)}x(t_0) + \int_{t_0}^{t_1} e^{A(t_1-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau .$$

If $u(t) = 0$ for $t > t_1$, state for $t \geq t_1$ can be written :

$$\begin{aligned} x(t) &= e^{A(t-t_1)}x(t_1) + \int_{t_1}^t e^{A(t-\tau)}Bu(\tau)d\tau \\ &= e^{A(t-t_1)}x(t_1) , \end{aligned}$$

which tends to zero while $t \rightarrow \infty$ if $\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{A(t-t_1)} = \mathbf{0}$.

Stability

Definition

A linear system is said to be *stable* if, for a time large enough, the state does not depend anymore on its initial conditions.

Stability

Definition

A linear system is said to be *stable* if, for a time large enough, the state does not depend anymore on its initial conditions.

By considering $t_1 = 0$, characterizing stability is equivalent to seek conditions such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{At} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Stability

Definition

A linear system is said to be *stable* if, for a time large enough, the state does not depend anymore on its initial conditions.

By considering $t_1 = 0$, characterizing stability is equivalent to seek conditions such that

$$\lim_{t \rightarrow \infty} e^{At} = \mathbf{0}.$$

Theorem

A linear system is said to be stable if, and only if, all the eigenvalues of its matrix evolution have a strictly negative real part.

Stability

Definition

The *characteristic polynomial* $P(s)$ of a linear system is defined by

$$P(s) = |s\mathbf{I} - A| \quad (5)$$

The roots of $P(s)$ are the eigenvalues of A .

Stability

Definition

The *characteristic polynomial* $P(s)$ of a linear system is defined by

$$P(s) = |s\mathbf{I} - A| \quad (5)$$

The roots of $P(s)$ are the eigenvalues of A .

Single-input single-output case : $P(s)$ is the denominator of the transfer function of the system, and its roots correspond to the *poles*.

Stability

Definition

The *characteristic polynomial* $P(s)$ of a linear system is defined by

$$P(s) = |s\mathbf{I} - A| \quad (5)$$

The roots of $P(s)$ are the eigenvalues of A .

Single-input single-output case : $P(s)$ is the denominator of the transfer function of the system, and its roots correspond to the *poles*.

Corollary (Stability criterion)

A linear system is said to be stable if, and only if, all the roots of its characteristic polynomial have a strictly negative real part.

Example : container handling gantry crane

State-space representation :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ -\frac{1}{L} & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{L} \end{pmatrix} u(t) \\ y(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases} .$$

From this state-space representation, stability of the system can be decided by evaluating the eigenvalues of A which are also the roots of its characteristic polynomial :

$$P(s) = |s\mathbf{I} - A| = \begin{vmatrix} s & -g \\ \frac{1}{L} & s \end{vmatrix} = s^2 + \frac{g}{L} .$$

These roots are pure imaginary numbers $\pm i\sqrt{\frac{g}{L}}$. The system is consequently unstable.

Controllability

Definition (Controllability)

A linear system is said to be controllable if for any pair of state-vectors (x_0, x_1) , one can find a time-instant t_1 and an input $u(t)$, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, such that the system, initialized with x_0 at time t_0 , can reach the state x_1 at t_1 .

Controllability

Definition (Controllability)

A linear system is said to be controllable if for any pair of state-vectors (x_0, x_1) , one can find a time-instant t_1 and an input $u(t)$, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, such that the system, initialized with x_0 at time t_0 , can reach the state x_1 at t_1 .

No assumption on the range of signals, and in particular for u !

Controllability

Definition (Controllability)

A linear system is said to be controllable if for any pair of state-vectors (x_0, x_1) , one can find a time-instant t_1 and an input $u(t)$, $t \in [t_0, t_1]$, such that the system, initialized with x_0 at time t_0 , can reach the state x_1 at t_1 .

No assumption on the range of signals, and in particular for u !

Theorem (Controllability criterion)

A linear system is said to be controllable if, and only if,

$$\text{rank} \overbrace{(B|AB|A^2B|\dots|A^{n-1}B)}^{\Gamma_{com}} = n, \quad (6)$$

where n is the dimension of matrix evolution A .

Example : container handling gantry crane

State-space representation :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ -\frac{1}{L} & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{L} \end{pmatrix} u(t) \\ y(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases} .$$

We have

$$\Gamma_{com} = (B \quad AB) = \begin{pmatrix} 0 & \frac{g}{L} \\ \frac{1}{L} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

The determinant of Γ_{com} is not equal to zero, this implies that the rank of Γ_{com} is then equal to 2 (the dimension of A), and we can conclude that the system is controllable. This means that, from any initial value of the state (state variables are the mass speed and angle θ), it is possible to give to the state any desired value.

Observability

Definition (Observability)

A linear system is said to be observable if the knowledge of $y(t)$ and $u(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is sufficient to determine state $x(t)$, for all t .

Observability

Definition (Observability)

A linear system is said to be observable if the knowledge of $y(t)$ and $u(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is sufficient to determine state $x(t)$, for all t .

Impossibilities for measuring state variables : if the system is observable, then there exists a way for evaluating the values of these variables by means of output measures.

Observability

Definition (Observability)

A linear system is said to be observable if the knowledge of $y(t)$ and $u(t)$ for $t \in \mathbb{R}$ is sufficient to determine state $x(t)$, for all t .

Impossibilities for measuring state variables : if the system is observable, then there exists a way for evaluating the values of these variables by means of output measures.

Theorem (Observability criterion)

A linear system is said to be observable if, and only if,

$$\text{rang} \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \\ \vdots \\ CA^{n-1} \end{pmatrix} = n. \quad (7)$$

Example : container handling gantry crane

State-space representation :

$$\begin{cases} \dot{x}(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 0 & g \\ -\frac{1}{L} & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) + \begin{pmatrix} 0 \\ \frac{1}{L} \end{pmatrix} u(t) \\ y(t) &= \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \end{pmatrix} x(t) \end{cases} .$$

We have

$$\Gamma_{obs} = \begin{pmatrix} C \\ CA \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\ 0 & g \end{pmatrix},$$

$|\Gamma_{obs}| = g \neq 0$ and then $\text{rang}(\Gamma_{obs}) = 2 = \dim(A)$. The system is therefore observable. This means that the knowledge of the input and the output is sufficient to be able to evaluate the state variables.